

hep-th/0508052

TIT/HEP-541

August, 2005

Non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supersymmetric $U(N)$ Gauge Theory and Deformed Instanton Equations

Katsushi Ito and Hiroaki Nakajima

*Department of Physics
Tokyo Institute of Technology
Tokyo, 152-8551, Japan*

Abstract

We study deformed supersymmetry in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric $U(N)$ gauge theory in non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace. Using the component formalism, we construct deformed $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1/2)$ supersymmetry explicitly. Based on the deformed supersymmetry, we discuss the C -dependence of the correlators. We also study the C -deformation of the instanton equation for the gauge group $U(2)$.

Supersymmetric field theories in non(anti)commutative superspace [1, 2] has been attracted much interests from the viewpoint of effective field theories on D-branes in the graviphoton background [3, 4, 5]. Superstrings in this background provide some interesting low-energy physics in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric field theories [6] and their $\mathcal{N} = 1$ deformations [7]. It would be important to study $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories in non(anti)commutative superspace in order to understand graviphoton effects in the low-energy effective theories from the microscopic point of view.

It is convenient to use $\mathcal{N} = 2$ extended non(anti)commutative superspace for studying non(anti)commutative gauge theories where supersymmetry is manifestly realized [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. In previous papers [11, 15, 16], $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric $U(1)$ gauge theory in non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 2$ harmonic superspace has been studied. We have computed the deformed Lagrangian up to the first order in the deformation parameter C of the superspace and examined their deformed symmetries. It is, however, difficult to calculate higher order C -corrections and extend the $U(1)$ gauge group to $U(N)$.

There exist two cases such that the deformed Lagrangian of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric $U(N)$ gauge theory becomes simple. One is the case of the singlet deformation where the deformation parameter belongs to the singlet representation of the R -symmetry group $SU(2)$ [9, 10, 17, 13, 14]. The other is the case that one introduces only deformation into $\mathcal{N} = 1$ subspace of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace. In a recent paper [16], it is shown that the $O(C)$ Lagrangian of the $U(1)$ theory defined in non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 2$ harmonic superspace leads to the theory in the non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace [2] by the reduction of deformation parameters and some field redefinitions. It is also shown that the theory has $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1/2)$ supersymmetry consistent with the Poisson structure of the theory. Here $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1/2)$ means that there are two chiral and one antichiral supercharges, as in [10].

In this paper, we will study $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric $U(N)$ gauge theory in the deformed $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace, whose Lagrangian has been constructed in [18]. We will construct deformed $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1/2)$ supersymmetry explicitly. Based on this symmetry, we will study the C -deformed correlators of the observables. We will also examine the C -deformed instanton equations for the gauge group $U(2)$. The instanton solutions in non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theory have been investigated in [19, 20]. In the case

of the gauge group $U(2)$ [19], it has been found that the $SU(2)$ part of the instanton equations is not deformed and the $U(1)$ part is deformed only. In the non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $U(2)$ theory, we will show that the $SU(2)$ part of the instanton equations is not deformed except for one of the fermions.

Let $(x^m, \theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}})$ ($m = 0, \dots, 3$, $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2$) be supercoordinates of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace and $\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{m\dot{\alpha}\alpha}$ Dirac matrices. We will study Euclidean spacetime so that chiral and antichiral fermions transform independently under the Lorentz transformations. $Q_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} - i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m$ and $\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} + i\theta_\alpha \bar{\sigma}^{m\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \partial_m$ are supercharges. $D_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} + i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m$ and $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} - i\theta_\alpha \bar{\sigma}^{m\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \partial_m$ are the supercovariant derivatives. $\sigma^{mn} = \frac{1}{4}(\sigma^m \bar{\sigma}^n - \sigma^n \bar{\sigma}^m)$, and $\bar{\sigma}^{mn} = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{\sigma}^m \sigma^n - \bar{\sigma}^n \sigma^m)$ are the Lorentz generators. Here we will follow the conventions of Wess and Bagger [21].

The non(anti)commutativity in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace is introduced by the $*$ -product:

$$f * g(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = f(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \overleftarrow{Q}_\alpha C^{\alpha\beta} \overrightarrow{Q}_\beta\right) g(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}). \quad (1)$$

Using this $*$ -product, the anticommutation relations for θ become

$$\{\theta^\alpha, \theta^\beta\}_* = C^{\alpha\beta} \quad (2)$$

while the chiral coordinates $y^m = x^m + i\theta\sigma^m\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\theta}$ are still commuting and anticommuting coordinates, respectively.

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric $U(N)$ gauge theory in this deformed superspace was formulated in [18]. It can be constructed by vector superfields V , chiral superfields Φ and an anti-chiral superfields $\bar{\Phi}$, where Φ and $\bar{\Phi}$ belong to the adjoint representation of $U(N)$. We introduce the basis t^a ($a = 1, \dots, N^2$) of Lie algebra of $U(N)$, normalized as $\text{tr}(t^a t^b) = k\delta^{ab}$. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{k} \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \text{tr}(\bar{\Phi} * e^V * \Phi * e^{-V}) + \frac{1}{16kg^2} \text{tr} \left(\int d^2\theta W^\alpha * W_\alpha + \int d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} * \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) \quad (3)$$

where g denotes the coupling constant. $W_\alpha = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 e^{-V} D_\alpha e^V$ and $\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{4}D^2 e^{-V} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} e^V$ are the chiral and antichiral field strengths. Note that multiplication of superfields are defined by the $*$ -product.

This Lagrangian is invariant under the gauge transformations $\Phi \rightarrow e^{-i\Lambda} * \Phi * e^{i\Lambda}$, $\bar{\Phi} \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}} * \bar{\Phi} * e^{i\bar{\Lambda}}$ and $e^V \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}} * e^V * e^{i\Lambda}$. To write down the Lagrangian in terms of component

fields, it is convenient to take the Wess-Zumino(WZ) gauge as in the commutative case. Since the $*$ -product deforms the gauge transformation, it is necessary to redefine the component fields such that these transform canonically under the gauge transformation[2, 18]. For $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $U(N)$ theory, these superfields in the WZ gauge are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(y, \theta) &= A(y) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(y) + \theta\theta F(y), \\
\bar{\Phi}(\bar{y}, \bar{\theta}) &= \bar{A}(\bar{y}) + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}(\bar{y}) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} \left(\bar{F} + iC^{mn}\partial_m \{v_n, \bar{A}\} - \frac{1}{4}C^{mn} [v_m, \{v_n, \bar{A}\}] \right) (\bar{y}), \\
V(y, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= -\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}v_\mu(y) + i\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}(y) - i\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\theta^\alpha \left(\lambda_\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}C^{\beta\gamma} \{(\sigma^\mu\bar{\lambda})_\gamma, v_\mu\} \right) (y) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}(D - i\partial^\mu v_\mu)(y).
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here $\bar{y}^m = x^m - i\theta\sigma^m\bar{\theta}$ are the antichiral coordinates and $C^{mn} = C^{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\beta\gamma}(\sigma^{mn})_\alpha{}^\gamma$. Since σ^{mn} is self-dual, C^{mn} is also self-dual. Substituting (4) into the Lagrangian (3), we obtain the deformed Lagrangian written in terms of component fields. In this expression, however, normalizations of two fermions ψ and λ are different. In order to see symmetries between two fermions manifestly, it is useful to rescale V to $2gV$ and $C^{\alpha\beta}$ to $\frac{1}{2g}C^{\alpha\beta}$. Then the Lagrangian takes the form $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1$. Here $\mathcal{L}_0$ is the undeformed Lagrangian with the topological term:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 &= \frac{1}{k}\text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{4}F^{mn}F_{mn} - \frac{1}{4}F^{mn}\tilde{F}_{mn} - i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^m D_m \lambda + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{D}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (D^m \bar{A})D_m A - i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^m D_m \psi + \bar{F}F - i\sqrt{2}g[\bar{A}, \psi]\lambda - i\sqrt{2}g[A, \bar{\psi}]\bar{\lambda} - \frac{g^2}{2}[A, \bar{A}]^2 \right), \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_{mn} = \partial_m v_n - \partial_n v_m + ig[v_m, v_n]$, $\tilde{F}_{mn} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{mnpq}F^{pq}$ and $D_m \lambda = \partial_m \lambda + ig[v_m, \lambda]$ etc. We have also introduced an auxiliary field $\tilde{D}$ defined by $\tilde{D} = D + g[A, \bar{A}]$ in order to see undeformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in a symmetric way. $\mathcal{L}_1$ is the C -dependent part of the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_1 &= \frac{1}{k}\text{tr} \left(-\frac{i}{2}C^{mn}F_{mn}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{8}|C|^2(\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda})^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{i}{2}C^{mn}F_{mn}\{\bar{A}, F\} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}\{D_m \bar{A}, (\sigma^m \bar{\lambda})_\alpha\}\psi_\beta - \frac{1}{16}|C|^2[\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda}][\bar{\lambda}, F] \right). \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $|C|^2 = C^{mn}C_{mn}$.

In the case of $C = 0$, the action is invariant under $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry transformations, where only $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry generated by Q_α and $\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are manifestly realized

in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace. Other $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry would be realized manifestly when we use $\mathcal{N} = 2$ extended superspace. In particular $\mathcal{N} = 2$ harmonic superspace [22] provides very efficient tools to study off-shell $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric field theories. The most general non(anti)commutative deformations are studied by using extended superspace. We expect that this deformed theory is derived from the non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 2$ harmonic superspace by the reduction of deformation parameters, which will be discussed in a separate paper.

In previous papers[11, 15, 16], we have studied $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric $U(1)$ gauge theory and their deformed supersymmetry structure in the component formalism. In particular, for generic deformation, $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ deformed supersymmetry has been constructed up to the first order of the deformation parameters. When the deformation parameters are reduced such that only $\mathcal{N} = 1$ subspace becomes non(anti)commutative, the deformed supersymmetry is enhanced to $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1/2)$ supersymmetry. This is because supersymmetries other than $\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ is consistent with the Poisson structure of the deformed superspace [10]. In this reduced case, it is shown that the $O(C)$ Lagrangian defined in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ harmonic superspace is equal to that of the deformed $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace by the field redefinitions.

We now study the $U(N)$ case. The undeformed superfield action is invariant under $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry generated by $\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}$. Since this transformation does not preserve the WZ gauge, we need to do gauge transformation to retain the WZ gauge. Then the (undeformed) supersymmetry transformations δ_{ξ}^0 and $\delta_{\bar{\xi}}^0$ of the component fields in the WZ gauge are

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\xi}^0 v_m &= i\xi \sigma_m \bar{\lambda}, \\
\delta_{\xi}^0 \lambda &= i\xi \tilde{D} - ig\xi[A, \bar{A}] + \sigma^{mn} \xi F_{mn}, \quad \delta_{\xi}^0 \bar{\lambda} = 0, \\
\delta_{\xi}^0 \tilde{D} &= -\xi \sigma^m D_m \bar{\lambda} + \sqrt{2}g[\xi\psi, \bar{A}], \\
\delta_{\xi}^0 A &= \sqrt{2}\xi\psi, \quad \delta_{\xi}^0 \psi = \sqrt{2}\xi F, \quad \delta_{\xi}^0 F = 0, \\
\delta_{\xi}^0 \bar{A} &= 0, \quad \delta_{\xi}^0 \bar{\psi} = \sqrt{2}i\bar{\sigma}^m \xi D_m \bar{A}, \quad \delta_{\xi}^0 \bar{F} = i\sqrt{2}\xi \sigma^m D_m \bar{\psi} - 2gi\xi[\bar{A}, \lambda], \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\bar{\xi}}^0 v_m &= i\bar{\xi} \bar{\sigma}_m \lambda, \\
\delta_{\bar{\xi}}^0 \lambda &= 0, \quad \delta_{\bar{\xi}}^0 \bar{\lambda} = -i\bar{\xi} \tilde{D} + ig\bar{\xi}[A, \bar{A}] + \bar{\sigma}^{mn} \bar{\xi} F_{mn},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi^0 \tilde{D} &= \bar{\xi} \bar{\sigma}^n D_n \lambda + \sqrt{2} g [A, \bar{\xi} \bar{\psi}], \\
\delta_\xi^0 A &= 0, \quad \delta_\xi^0 \psi = \sqrt{2} i \sigma^m \bar{\xi} D_m A, \quad \delta_\xi^0 F = \sqrt{2} i \bar{\xi} \bar{\sigma}^m D_m \psi + 2 g i \bar{\xi} [\bar{\lambda}, A], \\
\delta_\xi^0 \bar{A} &= \sqrt{2} \bar{\xi} \bar{\psi}, \quad \delta_\xi^0 \bar{\psi} = \sqrt{2} \bar{\xi} \bar{F}, \quad \delta_\xi^0 \bar{F} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The remaining $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry denoted by δ_η^0 and $\delta_{\bar{\eta}}^0$ can be obtained from (7) by using the R -symmetry: $\xi \rightarrow \eta$, $\lambda \rightarrow -\psi$, $\psi \rightarrow \lambda$, $\tilde{D} \rightarrow -\tilde{D}$, $F \rightarrow \bar{F}$.

Now we will construct the deformed $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1/2)$ supersymmetry which keeps the $U(N)$ Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ invariant up to the total derivatives. The term $\mathcal{L}_1$ is not invariant under the undeformed supersymmetry transformations δ_ξ^0 , δ_η^0 and $\delta_{\bar{\eta}}^0$. Since the deformed term $\mathcal{L}_1$ is a polynomial in C , we denote $\mathcal{L}_1^{(n)}$ ($n \geq 1$) by its n -th order term in C . The deformed supersymmetry transformations can be expanded in the form $\delta = \delta^0 + \delta^1 + \dots$. Here δ^n is the n -th order term in C . δ^n is determined recursively by solving the conditions $\delta^1 \mathcal{L}_0 + \delta^0 \mathcal{L}_1^{(1)} = 0$ and $\delta^2 \mathcal{L}_0 + \delta^1 \mathcal{L}_1^{(1)} + \delta^0 \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} = 0$ and so on.

The deformed transformation δ_ξ , which was calculated in [18], takes the form $\delta_\xi = \delta_\xi^0 + \delta_\xi^1$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi v_m &= i \xi \sigma_m \bar{\lambda}, \\
\delta_\xi \lambda_\alpha &= i \xi_\alpha \tilde{D} - i g \xi_\alpha [A, \bar{A}] + (\sigma^{mn} \xi)_\alpha \left(F_{mn} + \frac{i}{2} C_{mn} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\lambda} \right), \quad \delta_\xi \bar{\lambda} = 0, \\
\delta_\xi \tilde{D} &= -\xi \sigma^m D_m \bar{\lambda} + \sqrt{2} g [\xi \psi, \bar{A}], \\
\delta_\xi A &= \sqrt{2} \xi \psi, \quad \delta_\xi \psi = \sqrt{2} \xi F, \quad \delta_\xi F = 0, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{A} &= 0, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\psi} &= \sqrt{2} i \bar{\sigma}^m \xi D_m \bar{A}, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{F} &= i \sqrt{2} \xi \sigma^m D_m \bar{\psi} - 2 g i [\bar{A}, \xi \lambda] + C^{mn} D_m \left\{ \bar{A}, \xi \sigma_n \bar{\lambda} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Note that the transformation of Φ is undeformed. The deformed transformation δ_η , which relate the gauge field v_m to chiral fermion ψ , can be calculated in a similar way. But as in the analysis of $U(1)$ case, it is necessary to calculate up to the order $O(C^2)$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\eta v_m &= -i \eta \sigma_m \bar{\psi} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} C^{\alpha\beta} \eta_\alpha \left\{ \bar{A}, (\sigma_m \bar{\lambda})_\beta \right\}, \\
\delta_\eta \lambda^\alpha &= \sqrt{2} \eta^\alpha \bar{F} \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} C^{\alpha\beta} \eta_\beta \left\{ \tilde{D}, \bar{A} \right\} - \frac{\sqrt{2} i}{2} C^{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^{mn} \eta)_\beta \left\{ F_{mn}, \bar{A} \right\} - \frac{\sqrt{2} g}{2} C^{\alpha\beta} \eta_\beta \left\{ \bar{A}, [\bar{A}, A] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \det C \left(\{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}, \bar{A}\} + 2\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{A} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) \eta^{\alpha}, \\
\delta_{\eta} \bar{\lambda} &= \sqrt{2} i \bar{\sigma}^m \eta D_m \bar{A}, \\
\delta_{\eta} \tilde{D} &= -\eta \sigma^m D_m \bar{\psi} - \sqrt{2} g [\eta \lambda, \bar{A}] - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} i C^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\beta} D_m \left\{ \bar{A}, (\sigma^m \bar{\lambda})_{\alpha} \right\} - i g C^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\beta} \left\{ \bar{A}, [\bar{A}, \psi_{\alpha}] \right\}, \\
\delta_{\eta} A &= \sqrt{2} \eta \lambda + i C^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\beta} \left\{ \psi_{\alpha}, \bar{A} \right\}, \\
\delta_{\eta} \psi^{\alpha} &= i \eta^{\alpha} \tilde{D} + i g \eta^{\alpha} [A, \bar{A}] - \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^{mn} \eta)_{\beta} F_{mn} - i C^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\beta} \left\{ (\bar{\lambda} \bar{\lambda}) - \left\{ \bar{A}, F \right\} \right\}, \\
\delta_{\eta} F &= i \sqrt{2} \eta \sigma^m D_m \bar{\lambda} + 2 g i [\bar{A}, \eta \psi], \\
\delta_{\eta} \bar{A} &= 0, \\
\delta_{\eta} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= C^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \left\{ \bar{A}, D_m \bar{A} \right\}, \\
\delta_{\eta} \bar{F} &= \sqrt{2} g C^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\beta} \left\{ \bar{A}, [\bar{A}, \lambda_{\alpha}] \right\} + \frac{\sqrt{2} i}{4} \det C \left[3 \left\{ \bar{A}, \left\{ \eta \sigma^m \bar{\lambda}, D_m \bar{A} \right\} \right\} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2 D_m \bar{A} \bar{A} \eta \sigma^m \bar{\lambda} + 2 \eta \sigma^m \bar{\lambda} \bar{A} D_m \bar{A} + 2 \left\{ \bar{A}, \left\{ \eta \sigma^m D_m \bar{\lambda}, \bar{A} \right\} \right\} \right] \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the formula $\det C = |C|^2/4$. Note that there is an ambiguity to determine the δ_{η} transformation as noticed in the $U(1)$ case [16]. In fact, for arbitrary functions $f_1(\bar{A})$ and $f_2(\bar{A})$ of $\bar{A}$, the transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\delta}_{\eta} \lambda^{\alpha} &= \eta^{\alpha} f_1 F + F f_2 \eta^{\alpha}, \\
\tilde{\delta}_{\eta} \bar{F} &= i (\eta \sigma^n D_n \bar{\lambda}) f_1 + i f_2 (\eta \sigma^n D_n \bar{\lambda}) + i \sqrt{2} g [\bar{A}, \eta \psi] f_1 + \sqrt{2} i f_2 [\bar{A}, \eta \psi] \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

leaves the action invariant. In formulas (10), we have chosen f_1 and f_2 such that we recover the $U(1)$ result. This ambiguity would be fixed if we use non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 2$ harmonic superspace, which will not be discussed in this paper.

The deformed transformation $\delta_{\bar{\eta}}$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\bar{\eta}} v_m &= -i \bar{\eta} \bar{\sigma}_m \psi \\
\delta_{\bar{\eta}} \lambda^{\alpha} &= \sqrt{2} i \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^m \bar{\eta})_{\beta} D_m A + i C^{\alpha\beta} \left\{ \bar{\eta} \bar{\lambda}, \psi_{\beta} \right\}, \quad \delta_{\bar{\eta}} \bar{\lambda} = \sqrt{2} \bar{\eta} F, \\
\delta_{\bar{\eta}} \tilde{D} &= \bar{\eta} \bar{\sigma}^m D_m \psi - \sqrt{2} g [A, \bar{\eta} \bar{\lambda}], \\
\delta_{\bar{\eta}} A &= 0, \quad \delta_{\bar{\eta}} \psi = 0, \quad \delta_{\bar{\eta}} F = 0, \\
\delta_{\bar{\eta}} \bar{A} &= \sqrt{2} \bar{\eta} \bar{\lambda}, \\
\delta_{\bar{\eta}} \bar{\psi} &= -i \bar{\eta} \tilde{D} - i g \bar{\eta} [A, \bar{A}] - \bar{\sigma}^{mn} \bar{\eta} F_{mn},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\bar{\eta}}\bar{F} &= \sqrt{2}i\bar{\eta}\bar{\sigma}^m D_m \lambda - 2gi[\bar{\eta}\bar{\psi}, A] + C^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^m \bar{\eta})_{\alpha} D_m \{\psi_{\beta}, \bar{A}\} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \det C \left\{ 3\{\bar{\eta}\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}\} + \bar{\eta}\bar{\lambda}\bar{A}F + \bar{A}\bar{\eta}\bar{\lambda}F - 2\bar{\eta}\bar{\lambda}F\bar{A} + 2\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\eta}\bar{\lambda})\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right\}. \quad (12)\end{aligned}$$

Note that if we set $N = 1$, the cubic terms in $\bar{\lambda}$ and the commutators vanish. We then recover the $U(1)$ results obtained in [16].

We discuss some general properties obtained from the deformed supersymmetry. Firstly we examine the C -dependence of the correlation functions. Let us deform $C_{mn} \rightarrow C_{mn} + \delta C_{mn}$ with keeping the self-dual condition. The variation of the Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{k} \text{tr} \delta C^{mn} \left\{ -\frac{i}{2} F_{mn} \bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{4} C_{mn} (\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda})^2 + \frac{i}{2} F_{mn} \{\bar{A}, F\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma} (\sigma_{mn})_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} \{D_p \bar{A}, (\sigma^p \bar{\lambda})_{\alpha}\} \psi_{\beta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{8} C_{mn} (\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}) \{F, \bar{A}\} - \frac{1}{4} C_{mn} \bar{A} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} F \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right\}. \quad (13)\end{aligned}$$

Writing $\delta_{\xi} = \xi^{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}$, the Q^{α} action to the component fields are

$$\begin{aligned}\{Q^{\beta}, \lambda_{\alpha}\} &= -i\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} \tilde{D} + ig\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} [A, \bar{A}] + (\sigma^{mn})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \left(F_{mn} + \frac{i}{2} C_{mn} (\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}) \right), \\ \{Q^{\beta}, \psi_{\alpha}\} &= -\sqrt{2}\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} F, \\ \{Q^{\beta}, \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} &= -i\sqrt{2} D_m \bar{A} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma} \sigma_{\gamma\dot{\alpha}}^m. \quad (14)\end{aligned}$$

Then we find that $\delta\mathcal{L}$ is Q -exact, $\delta\mathcal{L} = \{Q^{\alpha}, \Lambda_{\alpha}\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{\alpha} &= \text{tr} \delta C^{mn} \left\{ \frac{i}{4} (\sigma_{mn})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \lambda_{\beta} (\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} - \{\bar{A}, F\}) - \frac{i}{4} (\sigma_{mn})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (\bar{\psi}\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\lambda}\bar{\psi}) \psi_{\beta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} C_{mn} \{\psi_{\alpha}, \bar{A}\} (\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{4} C_{mn} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{A} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \psi_{\alpha} \right\} \right\}. \quad (15)\end{aligned}$$

In the case of non(anti)commutative $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills theory[19], $\delta\mathcal{L}$ is shown to be Q -exact, which means that the correlator $\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle$ for Q -invariant operators $\mathcal{O}_i$ is C -independent. The antichiral gluino condensates $\langle \text{tr} \bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} \rangle$, in particular, does not have C -correction. In the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case, the correlator $\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle$ is also C -independent if the vacuum is Q -invariant: $Q|0\rangle = \langle 0|Q = 0$ [19]. For example, the antichiral scalar field $\bar{A}$ is a Q -invariant operator. Therefore, the vacuum expectation value $\langle \text{tr} \bar{A}^2 \rangle$ is C -independent. In the low-energy effective theory, this can be expressed in terms of anti-holomorphic prepotential $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{a})$ [23] such as

$$\langle \text{tr} \bar{A}^2 \rangle \sim \sum_i \bar{a}_i \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{a})}{\partial \bar{a}_i} - 2\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{a}), \quad (16)$$

where $\bar{a}_i$ is the vacuum expectation value of the low-energy $U(1)^N$ Higgs fields. Since $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{a})$ is expanded in the QCD scale parameter, (16) implies that the instanton corrections to the anti-holomorphic prepotential are not C -deformed. On the other hand, the holomorphic prepotential would have C -corrections.

We next study the (constrained) instanton equations of the deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric $U(N)$ gauge theory. Since the deformed part of the gauge field in the action is the same as that of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory[19], the deformed instanton equation for the gauge field is $F_{mn}^- = 0$ in the self-dual case and $F_{mn}^+ + \frac{i}{2}C_{mn}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} = 0$ in the anti-self-dual case[19, 20]. Here $F_{mn}^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(F_{mn} \pm \tilde{F}_{mn})$. The other fields must satisfy the equation of motion in the instanton background.

We begin with considering the case where the vacuum expectation values of A and $\bar{A}$ are zero. In this case we expect that the solutions are exact. From the Lagrangian (3), we obtain the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_m F^{mn} - g\{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\sigma}^n \lambda\} - g\{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\sigma}^n \psi\} - ig[\bar{A}, D^n A] + ig[D^n \bar{A}, A] \\
& + iD_m(C^{mn}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}g[\bar{A}, C^{\alpha\beta}[(\sigma^n \bar{\lambda})_\alpha, \psi_\beta]] = 0, \\
& \bar{\sigma}^m D_m \lambda + \sqrt{2}g[A, \bar{\psi}] + C^{mn}(F_{mn} + \frac{i}{2}C_{mn}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda})\bar{\lambda} = 0, \\
& \sigma^m D_m \bar{\lambda} + \sqrt{2}g[\bar{A}, \psi] = 0, \quad \bar{\sigma}^m D_m \psi - \sqrt{2}g[A, \bar{\lambda}] = 0, \\
& (D_m \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^m)^\alpha + \sqrt{2}g[\bar{A}, \lambda^\alpha] + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}C^{\alpha\beta}\{D_m \bar{A}, (\sigma^m \bar{\lambda})_\beta\} = 0, \\
& D^2 A - i\sqrt{2}g\{\psi, \lambda\} - g^2[[A, \bar{A}], A] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}C^{\alpha\beta}D_m[(\sigma^m \bar{\lambda})_\alpha, \psi_\beta] = 0, \\
& D^2 \bar{A} - i\sqrt{2}g\{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\lambda}\} - g^2[[A, \bar{A}], \bar{A}] = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the auxiliary fields F , $\bar{F}$ and $\tilde{D}$ have been eliminated. In the anti-self-dual background, if we set $\psi = \lambda = 0$, the equation of motion for A becomes $D^2 A - g^2[[A, \bar{A}], A] = 0$, from which $A = 0$ is an exact solution as in the undeformed case. From $A = 0$ and absence of chiral zero-modes in the anti-self-dual background, $\psi = \lambda = 0$ is shown to be also an exact solution of the equations of motion for ψ and λ . By a similar argument, $\bar{\lambda} = \bar{\psi} = 0$ and $\bar{A} = 0$ are an exact solution of the equation of motion in the self-dual background. Then the first equation of (17) is satisfied. In this paper we discuss the case of the gauge group $U(2)$ since the structure of the equations of motion (17) becomes simple as in

[19, 20]. We decompose $U(2)$ into the $SU(2)$ and the $U(1)$ parts: $v_m = v_{SU(2),m} + v_{U(1),m}$ where

$$v_{SU(2),m} = v_m^a t^a, \quad (a = 1, 2, 3), \quad v_{U(1),m} = v_m^4 t^4, \quad (18)$$

and $t^a = \tau^a$ and $t^4 = 1$. τ^a are the Pauli matrices. Other fields can be decomposed similarly. The equations of motion of $\bar{\lambda}_{U(1)}$, $\psi_{U(1)}$ and $\bar{A}_{U(1)}$ become

$$\sigma^m \partial_m \bar{\lambda}_{U(1)} = \bar{\sigma}^m \partial_m \psi_{U(1)} = \partial^2 \bar{A}_{U(1)} = 0, \quad (19)$$

Since eqs. (19) do not have any localized solution in four-dimensional Euclidean space, we have

$$\bar{\lambda}_{U(1)} = \psi_{U(1)} = 0, \quad \bar{A}_{U(1)} = \text{const.} \quad (20)$$

Using (20), one finds that only the equation of motion of $\lambda_{SU(2)}$ is deformed:

$$\bar{\sigma}^m D_m \lambda_{SU(2)} + \sqrt{2}g[A_{SU(2)}, \bar{\psi}_{SU(2)}] + \frac{1}{2}C^{mn}[F_{SU(2),mn}, \bar{\lambda}_{SU(2)}] = 0, \quad (21)$$

while the equations of motion of the other $SU(2)$ fields are not deformed. Therefore we find that the instanton equations for the $SU(2)$ gauge field are not deformed. In the case where the vacuum expectation values of A and $\bar{A}$ are zero, we obtain the exact self-dual solution

$$\begin{aligned} v_{SU(2),m} &= g^{-1}v_m^{(0)}, \quad \lambda_{SU(2)} = g^{-1/2}\lambda^{(0)}, \quad \psi_{SU(2)} = g^{-1/2}\psi^{(0)}, \\ A_{SU(2)} &= A^{(0)}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{SU(2)} = \bar{\psi}_{SU(2)} = \bar{A}_{SU(2)} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

which is not deformed by C . Here $\lambda^{(0)}$ and $\psi^{(0)}$ are the zero-modes in the self-dual instanton background. $A^{(0)}$ satisfies the equation $D^2 A^{(0)} - i\sqrt{2}\{\psi^{(0)}, \lambda^{(0)}\} = 0$. Since $\bar{\lambda}_{SU(2)} = 0$, the C -dependent term in eq. (21) vanishes and $\lambda_{SU(2)}$ is the same as the solution of the undeformed theory. The anti-self-dual solution for the $SU(2)$ part becomes

$$\begin{aligned} v_{SU(2),m} &= g^{-1}v_m^{(0)}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{SU(2)} = g^{-1/2}\bar{\lambda}^{(0)}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{SU(2)} = g^{-1/2}\bar{\psi}^{(0)}, \\ \bar{A}_{SU(2)} &= \bar{A}^{(0)}, \quad \lambda_{SU(2)} = \psi_{SU(2)} = A_{SU(2)} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which are not also C -deformed.¹ Here $\bar{\lambda}^{(0)}$ and $\bar{\psi}^{(0)}$ are the zero-modes in the anti-self-dual instanton background. $\bar{A}^{(0)}$ fulfills $D^2 \bar{A}^{(0)} - i\sqrt{2}\{\bar{\psi}^{(0)}, \bar{\lambda}^{(0)}\} = 0$. Since $v_{SU(2),m} = g^{-1}v_m^{(0)}$

¹In the case of singlet deformation, it is shown in [13] that the anti-self-dual solution is not deformed by C .

is anti-self-dual, we get $C^{mn}F_{SU(2),mn} = 0$. Therefore we find that (21) has the solution $\lambda_{SU(2)} = 0$. From eq. (20), the equations of motion of other $U(1)$ fields become

$$\partial^m (F_{mn}^4 + iC_{mn}\bar{\lambda}^a\bar{\lambda}^a) = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}^m \partial_m \lambda^4 + C^{mn}F_{mn}^a \bar{\lambda}^a = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$(\partial_m \bar{\psi}^4 \bar{\sigma}^m)^\alpha + i\sqrt{2}C^{\alpha\beta} \partial_m (\bar{A}^a \sigma^m \bar{\lambda}^a)_\beta = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\partial^2 A^4 + \sqrt{2}C^{\alpha\beta} \partial_m \{(\sigma^m \bar{\lambda}^a)_\alpha \psi_\beta^a\} = 0. \quad (27)$$

Eqs. (24)–(27) are regarded as the free field equations with the source made of the $SU(2)$ fields. The solution of (24) in the Lorentz gauge is obtained in [20] as $v_m^4 = iC_{mn}\partial^n \Psi$, where Ψ obeys $\partial^2 \Psi = \bar{\lambda}^a \bar{\lambda}^a$. In the self-dual background (22), eqs. (24)–(27) have only trivial solutions $F_{mn}^4 = \lambda^4 = \bar{\psi}^4 = 0$, $A^4 = \text{const.}$ since $\bar{\lambda}^a = 0$. As a consequence, the self-dual instanton equation $F_{mn}^{4,-} = 0$ is satisfied. In the anti-self-dual background (23), v_m^4 satisfies the deformed anti-self-dual instanton equation $F_{mn}^{4,+} + \frac{i}{2}C_{mn}\bar{\lambda}^a\bar{\lambda}^a = 0$. Eqs. (25) and (27) have trivial solutions $\lambda^4 = 0$, $A^4 = \text{const.}$ due to $C^{mn}F_{mn}^a = \psi^a = 0$. On the other hand, from eq. (26), $\bar{\psi}^4$ depends on C .

We next consider the case where the vacuum expectation values of A and $\bar{A}$ are nonzero, which corresponds to the constrained instanton solutions (see [24] for a review). When the $SU(2)$ instantons are self-dual, we expand the fields in the coupling constant g :

$$\begin{aligned} v_{SU(2),m} &= g^{-1}v_m^{(0)} + gv_m^{(1)} + \dots, & F_{SU(2),mn} &= g^{-1}F_{mn}^{(0)} + gF_{mn}^{(1)} + \dots, \\ \lambda_{SU(2)} &= g^{-1/2}\lambda^{(0)} + g^{3/2}\lambda^{(1)} + \dots, & \bar{\lambda}_{SU(2)} &= g^{1/2}\bar{\lambda}^{(0)} + g^{5/2}\bar{\lambda}^{(1)} + \dots, \\ \psi_{SU(2)} &= g^{-1/2}\psi^{(0)} + g^{3/2}\psi^{(1)} + \dots, & \bar{\psi}_{SU(2)} &= g^{1/2}\bar{\psi}^{(0)} + g^{5/2}\bar{\psi}^{(1)} + \dots, \\ A_{SU(2)} &= g^0A^{(0)} + g^2A^{(1)} + \dots, & \bar{A}_{SU(2)} &= g^0\bar{A}^{(0)} + g^2\bar{A}^{(1)} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $v_m^{(0)}$ is self-dual $F_{mn}^{(0)} = \tilde{F}_{mn}^{(0)}$. We are interested in the leading terms of the $SU(2)$ fields. The equations of motion of the fields are

$$\bar{\sigma}^m D_m \lambda^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}C^{mn}[F_{mn}^{(0)}, \bar{\lambda}^{(0)}] = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\sigma^m D_m \bar{\lambda}^{(0)} + \sqrt{2}[\bar{A}^{(0)}, \psi^{(0)}] = 0, \quad \bar{\sigma}^m D_m \psi^{(0)} = 0, \quad D^2 \bar{A}^{(0)} = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$\sigma^m D_m \bar{\psi}^{(0)} - \sqrt{2}[\bar{A}^{(0)}, \lambda^{(0)}] = 0, \quad D^2 A^{(0)} - i\sqrt{2}\{\psi^{(0)}, \lambda^{(0)}\} = 0. \quad (31)$$

Only the eq. (29) is deformed by C . For example, the C -deformed supersymmetric zero-mode can be obtained from the supersymmetry transformation (10) as

$$\lambda^{(0),\alpha} = -\sqrt{2}i(\bar{A}_{U(1)}C\eta)^\beta(\sigma^{mn})_\beta^\alpha F_{mn}^{(0)}. \quad (32)$$

We note that $\bar{\psi}^{(0)}$ and $A^{(0)}$ are also deformed since eqs. (31) contain $\lambda^{(0)}$ as a source.

In the case of anti-self-dual background $F_{mn}^{(0)} = -\tilde{F}_{mn}^{(0)}$, the g -expansion of the $SU(2)$ fields becomes

$$\begin{aligned} v_{SU(2),m} &= g^{-1}v_m^{(0)} + gv_m^{(1)} + \dots, & F_{SU(2),mn} &= g^{-1}F_{mn}^{(0)} + gF_{mn}^{(1)} + \dots, \\ \lambda_{SU(2)} &= g^{1/2}\lambda^{(0)} + g^{5/2}\lambda^{(1)} + \dots, & \bar{\lambda}_{SU(2)} &= g^{-1/2}\bar{\lambda}^{(0)} + g^{3/2}\bar{\lambda}^{(1)} + \dots, \\ \psi_{SU(2)} &= g^{1/2}\psi^{(0)} + g^{5/2}\psi^{(1)} + \dots, & \bar{\psi}_{SU(2)} &= g^{-1/2}\bar{\psi}^{(0)} + g^{3/2}\bar{\psi}^{(1)} + \dots, \\ A_{SU(2)} &= g^0A^{(0)} + g^2A^{(1)} + \dots, & \bar{A}_{SU(2)} &= g^0\bar{A}^{(0)} + g^2\bar{A}^{(1)} + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The leading terms of the equations of motion are

$$\bar{\sigma}^m D_m \lambda^{(0)} + \sqrt{2}[A^{(0)}, \bar{\psi}^{(0)}] + \frac{1}{2}C^{mn}[F_{mn}^{(1)}, \bar{\lambda}^{(0)}] = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\sigma^m D_m \bar{\lambda}^{(0)} = 0, \quad \bar{\sigma}^m D_m \psi^{(0)} - \sqrt{2}[A^{(0)}, \bar{\lambda}^{(0)}] = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$\sigma^m D_m \bar{\psi}^{(0)} = 0, \quad D^2 A^{(0)} = 0, \quad D^2 \bar{A}^{(0)} - i\sqrt{2}\{\bar{\psi}^{(0)}, \bar{\lambda}^{(0)}\} = 0. \quad (36)$$

Eqs. (35) and (36) can be solved as in the undeformed theory. But the C -deformed source in $\lambda^{(0)}$ comes from the order g contributions of the gauge fields. At the leading order in g , the $SU(2)$ anti-self-dual solutions are not modified by the deformation parameter C . This is consistent with the result obtained from the Q -exactness of the C -variation of the action. In the $U(1)$ part, the equations of motions (24)–(27) would have C -dependent solutions. A detailed analysis of a instanton calculus will be discussed in a separate paper.

Acknowledgements

H. N. is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan No. 16028203 for the priority area “origin of mass”.

References

- [1] J. H. Schwarz and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, Lett. Nuovo Cim. **34**, 21 (1982).
- [2] N. Seiberg, JHEP **0306**, 010 (2003), hep-th/0305248.
- [3] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2003) 53, hep-th/0302109; Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2004) 405, hep-th/0303063.
- [4] N. Berkovits and N. Seiberg, JHEP **0307** (2003) 010, hep-th/0306226.
- [5] J. de Boer, P. A. Grassi and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett. **B574** (2003) 98, hep-th/0302078.
- [6] N.A. Nekrasov, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2004) 831, hep-th/0206161.
- [7] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. **B644** (2002) 3, hep-th/0206255.
- [8] D. Klemm, S. Penati and L. Tamassia, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 2905, hep-th/0104190;
S. Ferrara and M. A. Lledo, JHEP **0005** (2000) 008, hep-th/0002084;
S. Ferrara, M. A. Lledo and O. Macia, JHEP **0309** (2003) 068, hep-th/0307039.
- [9] S. Ferrara and E. Sokatchev, Phys. Lett. **B579** (2004) 226, hep-th/0308021.
- [10] E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld and B. Zupnik, JHEP **0402** (2004) 012, hep-th/0308012;
Nucl. Phys. B **707** (2005) 69, hep-th/0408146.
- [11] T. Araki, K. Ito and A. Ohtsuka, JHEP **0401** (2004) 046, hep-th/0401012.
- [12] S.V. Ketov and S. Sasaki, Phys. Lett. B **595** (2004) 530, hep-th/0404119; Phys. Lett. B **597** (2004) 105, hep-th/0405278; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20** (2005) 4021, hep-th/0407211.
- [13] E. A. Ivanov and B. M. Zupnik, Theor. Math. Phys. **142** (2005) 197, hep-th/0405185.
- [14] S. Ferrara, E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, E. Sokatchev and B. Zupnik, Nucl. Phys. B **704** (2005) 154, hep-th/0405049.

- [15] T. Araki, K. Ito and A. Ohtsuka, Phys. Lett. **B606** (2005) 202, hep-th/0410203.
- [16] T. Araki, K. Ito and A. Ohtsuka, JHEP **0505** (2005) 074, hep-th/0503224.
- [17] T. Araki and K. Ito, Phys. Lett. **B595** (2004) 513, hep-th/0404250.
- [18] T. Araki, K. Ito and A. Ohtsuka, Phys. Lett. **B573** (2003) 209, hep-th/0307076.
- [19] A. Imaanpur, JHEP **0309** (2003) 077, hep-th/0308171; JHEP **0312** (2003) 009, hep-th/0311137.
- [20] P. A. Grassi, R. Ricci and D. Robles-Llana, JHEP **0407** (2004) 065, hep-th/0311155;
R. Britto, B. Feng, O. Lunin and S. J. Rey, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 126004, hep-th/0311275;
M. Billó, M. Frau, I. Pesando and A. Lerda, JHEP **0405** (2004) 023, hep-th/0402160;
M. Billó, M. Frau, F. Lonegro and A. Lerda, JHEP **0505** (2005) 047, hep-th/0502084;
S. Giombi, R. Ricci, D. Robles-Llana and D. Trancanelli, hep-th/0505077.
- [21] J. Wess and J. Bagger, “Supersymmetry and Supergravity,” Princeton University Press, 1992.
- [22] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, “Harmonic Superspace”, Cambridge University Press , 2001.
- [23] J. Sonnenschein, S.Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. **B367** (1996) 145, hep-th/9510129;
T. Eguchi and S.-K. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11** (1996) 131, hep-th/9510183.
- [24] N. Dorey, T.J. Hollowood, V.V. Khoze and M.P. Mattis, Phys. Rept. **371** (2002) 231, hep-th/0206063